

EFFECTIVE LIMIT DISTRIBUTION OF THE FROBENIUS NUMBERS

HAN LI

Abstract

The Frobenius number $F(\mathbf{a})$ of a lattice point $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with positive coprime coordinates, is the largest integer which can *not* be expressed as a non-negative integer linear combination of the coordinates of $\mathbf{a}$. Marklof in [M10] proved the existence of the limit distribution of the Frobenius numbers, when $\mathbf{a}$ is taken to be random in an enlarging domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will show that if the domain has piecewise smooth boundary, the error term for the convergence of the distribution functions is at most a polynomial in the enlarging factor.

1 Introduction

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d = \{\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1\}$ be the set of primitive lattice points, and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$ be the subset with coordinates $a_i > 0$. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$, there exists a largest natural number $F(\mathbf{a})$, that is not representable as a linear non-negative integer combination of the coordinates of $\mathbf{a}$. The number $F(\mathbf{a})$ is called the Frobenius number of vector $\mathbf{a}$. That is,

$$F(\mathbf{a}) = \max \left\{ \mathbb{N} \setminus \{ \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, k_i \geq 0 \} \right\}.$$

Finding the Frobenius number for a given primitive lattice point is known as “the Coin Exchange Problem”. When $d = 2$, Sylvester’s formula shows that $F(\mathbf{a}) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2$, and no explicit formula is known when $d \geq 3$. However, many results on upper bounds were obtained by the 1980’s. As was discussed in [AG07], if $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$ with $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_d$, the estimates include the work by Erdős and Graham [EG72]

$$F(\mathbf{a}) \leq 2a_d \left\lceil \frac{a_1}{d} \right\rceil - a_1, \quad (1.1)$$

the work by Selmer [SE77]

$$F(\mathbf{a}) \leq 2a_{d-1} \left\lceil \frac{a_d}{d} \right\rceil - a_d, \quad (1.2)$$

and the work by Vitek [V75]

$$F(\mathbf{a}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{(a_d - 2)(a_2 - 1)}{2} \right\rceil - 1. \quad (1.3)$$

There are also results on the limit distribution of Frobenius numbers from different perspectives. In dimension $d = 3$, Bourgain and Sinai (cf. [BS07]) by using continued fractions, showed that for ensembles $\Omega_N = \{a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d : a_i \leq N\}$, the limit distribution of $\frac{F(\mathbf{a})}{N^{3/2}}$ exists. Marklof in [M10] generalized this result to higher dimensions. To state his main results, let us first recall the definition of the covering radius function. A lattice L in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is a discrete additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with finite covolume $\det(L)$, which equals the volume of the fundamental domain of the L action on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. The covering radius function Q_0 of a lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is given by

$$Q_0(L) = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : t\Delta_{d-1} + L = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Delta_{d-1} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \leq 1\}$ is the standard simplex.

Lattices of covolume 1 are called unimodular. Let $G_0 = \mathrm{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma_0 = \mathrm{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\Omega_0 = G_0/\Gamma_0$ can be identified with the space of unimodular lattices in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Let us fix a right invariant Riemannian metric on G_0 , giving rise to a metric and a left G_0 -invariant probability measure $\bar{\mu}_0$ on Ω_0 .

Theorem 1.1 (Marklof). *Let $d \geq 3$, and $E_R = \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}$, then*

- (i) *for any bounded set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero, and any $R \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^d} \# \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 2}^d \cap T\mathcal{D} : \frac{F(\mathbf{a})}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} \leq R \right\} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)} \bar{\mu}_0(E_R).$$

- (ii) *Q_0 is continuous on Ω_0 ; $\bar{\mu}_0(E_R)$ is continuous in R , i.e. $\bar{\mu}_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) = R\}) = 0$ for any $R > 0$.*

We now give a brief explanation why the limit distribution exists based on [LM]. Aliev and Gruber showed in [AG07] that for any $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$, there exists a $d-1$ dimensional unimodular lattice $L_{\mathbf{a}} \in \Omega_0$ with

$$\frac{F(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} = Q_0(L_{\mathbf{a}}). \quad (1.5)$$

This is essentially due to a geometric interpretation of the Frobenius numbers found by Kannan ([K92]). It is observed that using an argument concerning the equidistribution of a Farey sequence proved in [M10], one can show that, for “nice” bounded

set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ (e.g. $\mathcal{D}$ has boundary of Lebesgue measure zero), and for any bounded continuous function ϕ on Ω_0 , we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_{\mathbf{a}}) = \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\bar{\mu}_0. \quad (1.6)$$

Since $E_R = \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}$ has boundary measure zero, we can apply χ_{E_R} to (1.6), and get the limit distribution of the random variable $Q_0(L_{\mathbf{a}})$ on $T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$, thus (i) of Theorem 1.1 can be deduced afterwards. Note that for any bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ we have the asymptotic formula

$$|T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d| \sim \frac{T^d \text{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)}, \quad (1.7)$$

hence (1.6) means that the set of lattices $\{L_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d\}$ appearing in (1.5) is uniformly distributed in Ω_0 . Theorem 1.1 also implies that for large R and T , the probability that a random lattice point $\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ satisfies $F(\mathbf{a}) < R(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}$ is greater than 99%. This gives a somewhat better estimate compared with (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), for most $\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$. (since $(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)} \leq a_i a_d$ if $a_i \leq a_d$)

The aim of this paper is to estimate the decay of the function $\Psi(R) = 1 - \bar{\mu}_0(E_R)$ and the error term of (i) of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a constant $C_d > 0$ dependent only on d , such that for any $R > 0$, we have $\Psi(R) < C_d R^{-(d-1)}$. In other words, the function $\Psi(R)$ decays in at least a polynomial rate of R .*

Theorem 1.2 improves the exponent compared with Theorem 1 of [AHH09]. After this paper was completed, Marklof, in an unpublished work [M10-2] has shown that there exists a constant $c_d > 0$, so that

$$\Psi(R) > c_d R^{-(d-1)}.$$

We say a subset of a Riemannian manifold has piecewise smooth boundary, if the boundary is the union of finitely many smooth submanifolds of codimension 1.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists $\kappa > 0$ dependent only on d , so that for any $R > 0$, and any domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_i < 1\}$ with piecewise smooth boundary, there exist constants $C_R, C_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$, such that for every $T \geq 1$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \# \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : \frac{F(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} \leq R \right\} - \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)} \bar{\mu}_0(E_R) \right| < \frac{C_R C_{\mathcal{D}}}{T^{\kappa}}.$$

When $d = 3$, more explicit calculation was done by Ustinov in [U10] for the domain $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_i < 1\}$, and Theorem 1.3 is consistent with his result.

It is worth pointing out that the constants $C_d, C_R, C_{\mathcal{D}}$ and κ in Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 are computable, which will be revealed in the proof of the theorems. As a consequence, this enables us to find the large R and T to ensure the better upper bound estimate of $F(\mathbf{a})$ for most $\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ mentioned before.

Organization of the paper In section 2 we will use the geometry of numbers to prove Theorem 1.2. We will also give an explicit description for the $L_{\mathbf{a}}$ appearing in formula (1.5). Section 3 and 4 are devoted to proving effective equidistribution of both expanding horospheres, and a Farey sequence on a specified closed horosphere, under the translation of a one sided diagonal flow. We will give an error term estimate of (1.6) for non-negative compactly supported C^1 test functions. In section 5 we will estimate the error term of (1.6) when the test function is the indicator function of $E_R = \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}$, and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We will also borrow many ideas from [M10] in Section 4 to formulate a series of equidistribution results which lead to the error term estimate of (1.6). Many technical complications arise when we try to get effective results, and we will make extensive use of the geometry of numbers, and harmonic analysis on Lie groups.

Notation We will always work with column vectors. For brevity we will use $\ll$ to represent the inequalities in which the implicit constants depend on the underlying Lie groups or Euclidean spaces. In a metric space X , $B_X(x, r)$ stands for the open ball the radius r centered at x . On a Lie group G , $B_G(r) = B_G(e, r)$ with a specified metric on G ; in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $B(r) = B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, r)$ with Euclidean norm.

Acknowledgments I am especially grateful to Jens Marklof for discussing many concepts and ideas related to this project, and for pointing out a problem in the original formulation of Theorem 1.3. I want to thank Jayadev Athreya for his helpful comments on the early draft of the paper. I am also deeply indebted to my thesis advisor Prof. Gregory Margulis for suggesting me this project, for his invaluable discussions and constant encouragement.

2 Covering Radius and the Frobenius Numbers

We call a subset K of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ a *convex body* if K is a compact convex set with non-empty interior. A convex body is called centrally symmetric if it is symmetric with respect to the origin. For a centrally symmetric convex body K , its polar K^* is again

a centrally symmetric convex body defined by

$$K^* = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq 1, \text{ for any } \mathbf{y} \in K\}.$$

We now introduce the notion of *dual lattice*. Let $L = A\mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \in \Omega_0$ where $A \in G_0$, and let A^* be the inverse transpose of A , we call the lattice $L^* = A^*\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ the dual lattice of L . One readily verifies that the definition of L^* is independent of the choice A , and moreover the map $L \rightarrow L^*$ is a diffeomorphism of Ω_0 which preserves $\bar{\mu}_0$.

The covering radius $Q(K, L)$ of a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with respect to a lattice L in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is defined by

$$Q(K, L) := \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : tK + L = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}.$$

From the definition we know that $Q_0(L)$ defined in (1.4) satisfies $Q_0(L) = Q(\Delta_{d-1}, L)$ for any lattice L in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. (We will abbreviate Δ_{d-1} as Δ in what follows.)

The covering radius is related to the *Minkowski's successive minima*. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a centrally symmetric convex body, and L be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, the i -th minimum ($1 \leq i \leq d-1$) of K with respect to L is defined by

$$\lambda_i(K, L) := \min \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \dim(\text{span}(tK \cap L)) \geq i\}.$$

Clearly $0 < \lambda_1(K, L) \leq \lambda_2(K, L) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{d-1}(K, L)$.

Lemma 2.1 (Minkowski's Second Theorem). *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a centrally symmetric convex body and L be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, then*

$$\frac{2^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \leq \frac{\text{vol}(K)}{\det(L)} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i(K, L) \leq 2^{d-1}.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Kannan-Lovász, 2.4, 2.8 [KL88]). *Let K be a convex body and L be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and set $K - K = \{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 : \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \in K\}$, then*

- (i) $\lambda_{d-1}(K - K, L) \leq Q(K, L) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_i(K - K, L)$,
- (ii) *There exists a constant $C_d > 0$, so that $\lambda_{d-1}(K - K, L) \lambda_1((K - K)^*, L^*) < C_d$.*

Theorem 2.3. Q_0 is a proper function on Ω_0 , i.e. $E_R = \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}$ is a compact subset of Ω_0 for any $R \geq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and (i) of 2.2, $\lambda_1(\Delta - \Delta, L)$ is positively bounded below for $L \in E_R$. Mahler's Criterion implies that E_R is relatively compact in Ω_0 . Since Q_0 is continuous, E_R is compact. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. (Lemma 4.1 of [AM09]) *For any centrally symmetric convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ so that for any $r > 0$,*

$$\bar{\mu}_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : \lambda_1(K, L) < r\}) < C_K r^{d-1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

By Lemma 2.2, for any $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) > R\} &\subseteq \{L \in \Omega_0 : \lambda_{d-1}(\Delta - \Delta, L) > \frac{R}{d-1}\} \\ &\subseteq \{L \in \Omega_0 : \lambda_1((\Delta - \Delta)^*, L^*) < \frac{(d-1)C_d}{R}\} \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $L \rightarrow L^*$ preserves $\bar{\mu}_0$, by Lemma 2.4

$$\Psi(R) = \bar{\mu}_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) > R\}) \ll R^{-(d-1)}.$$

It completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Let us recall Kannan's geometric interpretation (c.f. [K92]) of the Frobenius numbers in terms of the covering radius. For $T > 0$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with each coordinate $y_i \neq 0$, we define

$$D(T) = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/(d-1)} 1_{d-1} & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \quad n(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{d-1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{x}^t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} m'(\mathbf{y}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $m'(\mathbf{y}) = (y_1 \cdots y_{d-1})^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$. Clearly $m'(\mathbf{y}) \in \text{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $D(T), n(\mathbf{x}), m(\mathbf{y}) \in \text{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$ with $a_i \leq a_d$, we define a lattice

$$M_{\mathbf{a}} = \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{a_d}\}.$$

Since $\mathbf{a}$ is primitive, $M_{\mathbf{a}}$ has determinant a_d .

By $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ we denote the $d-1$ torus, which can be (and will be) identified with $[0, 1)^{d-1}$. Every $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$ corresponds to an

$$\widehat{\mathbf{a}} = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_d}, \dots, \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_d} \right)^t \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}.$$

Lemma 2.5 (Kannan([K92]), Aliev-Gruber([AG07])). *For any $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$ with $a_i \leq a_d$, the Frobenius number $F(\mathbf{a})$ satisfies*

$$\frac{F(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} = Q_0(m'(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})(a_d^{-1/(d-1)} M_{\mathbf{a}})).$$

We now present an explicit description of the lattice $L_{\mathbf{a}}$ which appears in formula (1.5) in the introduction:

Theorem 2.6. *With the same assumption as the above lemma,*

$$\frac{F(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} = Q_0(m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(a_d)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{e}_d^\perp), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$. In other words, $L_{\mathbf{a}} = m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(a_d)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{e}_d^\perp$.

Proof. Note that for any $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d)^t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$, we have $n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, 0)^t$. Therefore $M_{\mathbf{a}} = (n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\mathbb{Z}^d) \cap \mathbf{e}_d^\perp$. The conclusion follows immediately from the above lemma. $\square$

3 Translations of Horospheres and Effective Equidistribution

Preliminaries

Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer, and let $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$, $G_0 = \mathrm{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{R})$, which can be embedded into G via $A \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let

$$F = \{D(s) : s > 0\} = \{g_t = \mathrm{diag}(e^{-t}, \dots, e^{-t}, e^{(d-1)t}) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

be a diagonal subgroup of G , and

$$F^+ = \{D(s) : s > 1\} = \{g_t : t > 0\}.$$

For the subgroups of G

$$H = \{n(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}, \quad H^- = \{n(\mathbf{x})^t : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}, \quad H_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : \det(A)c = 1 \right\},$$

their Lie algebras consist of the common eigenspaces of F^+ with eigenvalues in absolute value bigger than 1, smaller than 1, and equal to 1 respectively.

Let us fix a right invariant Riemannian metric on G . Any closed subgroup of G inherits a Riemannian structure from G . Hence if we let $\phi_t : G \rightarrow G$, $\phi_t(g) = g_t g g_{-t}$, the restriction of ϕ_t ($t > 0$) on $H' = H_0 H^-$ is “non-expanding” in the sense that

$$\phi_t(B_{H'}(r)) \subseteq B_{H'}(r) \quad \text{for any } r > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, H is called the expanding horospherical subgroup of G with respect to F^+ in the sense that

$$H = \{g \in G : g_{-t} g g_t \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

Let $\Omega = G/\Gamma$ be the space of unimodular lattices in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with the Riemannian metric coming from G . Any H -orbit in Ω is called an expanding horosphere (with respect to F^+). Let

$$Y = \{h\Gamma : h \in H\} = \{n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}\} \subseteq \Omega$$

be the closed horosphere which passes $e\Gamma$. Denote by μ and ν the left Haar measures on G and H accordingly, with the induced measures on Ω and Y satisfying $\bar{\mu}(\Omega) = 1$ and $\bar{\nu}(Y) = 1$ (which means ν and $\bar{\nu}$ correspond to the Lebesgue measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ respectively). Choose a left Haar measure ν' on H' so that μ is locally the product of ν and ν' . This means, in view of Theorem 8.32 in [Kn02], for any $f \in L^1(G)$:

$$\int_{H'H} f(g) d\mu = \int_{H' \times H} f(h'h) d\nu'(h') d\nu(h). \quad (3.2)$$

Decay of Matrix Coefficients and Its Consequences

Let us temporarily assume that G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center, endowed with a right invariant Riemannian metric “ d ”. Let ρ be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We say a vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is Lipschitz if

$$Lip(v) := \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\rho(g)v - v\|}{d(e, g)} : g \neq e \right\} < \infty.$$

The relation between the isolation of representations and the decay of matrix coefficients has been revealed by the works of many people, such as Howe, Cowling, Katok and Spatzier. Based on the previous works, Kleinbock and Margulis proved the following quantitative decay of matrix coefficients for Lipschitz vectors.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem A.4 in [KM96]). *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center, and let $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of G such that the restriction of ρ to any simple factor of G is isolated from the trivial representation. Then there exists a constant $\alpha_1 > 0$ (dependent only on G and ρ) such that for any Lipschitz vectors $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in G$*

$$|\langle \rho(g)v, w \rangle| \ll e^{-d(e,g)\alpha_1} (Lip(v) + \|v\|)(Lip(w) + \|w\|).$$

Let us specialize the case where $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ ($d \geq 3$), and H the expanding horospherical subgroup with respect to F^+ . Consider the action of G on $H \times \Omega$ by $g.(h, z) = (h, gz)$ and the associated unitary representation of G on $L^2(H \times \Omega)$. Note that G acts trivially on the subrepresentation

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \varphi \in L^2(H \times \Omega) : \varphi(h, z) = f(h), \text{ for some } f \in L^2(H) \text{ and } (\bar{\mu}) a.e. z \in \Omega \}.$$

We say a function ψ on a metric space X (with the metric “*dist*”) is Lipschitz if

$$\|\psi\|_{Lip} := \sup \left\{ \frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|}{dist(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\} < \infty.$$

Denote by $Lip(X)$ the space of Lipschitz functions on X . Observe that Lipschitz functions on $H \times \Omega$ are necessarily Lipschitz vectors in $L^2(H \times \Omega)$ (with respect to the G -action mentioned above), moreover $Lip(\psi) \leq \|\psi\|_{Lip}$. We have the following corollary for the decay of matrix coefficients of square integrable Lipschitz functions:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $G, \Omega, g_t, H, \mathcal{H}_0$ be as above, and $\mathcal{P} : L^2(H \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ be the orthogonal projection. Then for any two functions $\varphi, \psi \in Lip(H \times \Omega) \cap L^2(H \times \Omega)$ and for any $t \geq 0$*

$$|\langle g_t \varphi, \psi \rangle - \langle \mathcal{P} \varphi, \mathcal{P} \psi \rangle| \ll (\|\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{Lip})(\|\psi\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{Lip}) e^{-\alpha_1 t}, \quad (3.3)$$

where α_1 here is as in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Since $d \geq 3$, $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ has Kazhdan’s property T . Observe that there is no trivial subrepresentation of G on $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$, hence the restriction of the representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp$ is isolated from the trivial representation. The conclusion follows immediately by applying Theorem (3.1) to g_t and the functions $\varphi - \mathcal{P} \varphi, \psi - \mathcal{P} \psi$. $\square$

Effective Equidistribution and F^+ –translations

Let $\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq d^2 - 1\}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra of G coming from a basis of the Lie algebras of H , H^- , F and G_0 . Every X in the Lie algebra of G corresponds to a right invariant vector field ∂X on G given by

$$\partial X(f)(g) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\exp(tX)g) - f(g)}{t}, \quad f \in C^\infty(G), g \in G. \quad (3.4)$$

We say a bounded differentiable function f on G is C^1 if

$$\|f\|_{C^1} := \|f\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{d^2-1} \|\partial X_i(f)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

Similarly, one can define the C^1 –norm for bounded differentiable functions on Ω .

We now present a quantitative equidistribution result of the F^+ –translations of the H –orbit $\{(h, hx) : h \in H\}$ (where $x \in \Omega$) in $H \times \Omega$. The method in our approach is by no means new. The proof we are going to give here is a modification of the proofs for the equidistribution of the F^+ –translations of $\{hx : h \in H\}$ in Ω (cf. [KM96] and [KM07]). The technique is sometimes known as the “equidistribution via mixing”, which originated in Margulis’ thesis. Unlike the cases in [KM96] and [KM07], special care must be taken for the additional variable on the horospherical subgroup H when we do the “thickening”. The “thickening” is based on the following well known property of C^1 functions.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $0 < r < 1$, there exists a nonnegative function $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in $B(r)$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta = 1$, $\|\theta\|_{L^2} \ll r^{-n/2}$ and $\|\theta\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-n-1}$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f \in C^1(H)$ with compact support, $0 < r < 1$ and $x \in \Omega$ be such that $\pi_x : G \rightarrow \Omega$, $\pi_x(g) = gx$ is injective on $B_G(r)\text{supp}(f)$. Then for any $t \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in C^1(H \times \Omega)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_H f(h) \varphi(h, g_t hx) d\nu(h) - \int_{H \times \Omega} f(h) \varphi(h, z) d\nu(h) d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \\ & \ll \|\varphi\|_{Lip} \cdot r \cdot \|f\|_{L^1} + r^{-d^2} \|f\|_{C^1} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where α_1 is as in Corollary 3.2, and here we specify the Riemannian structure on H which comes from the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(h, z) d\bar{\mu}(z) = 0$ for every $h \in H$. Otherwise we replace φ by $\varphi(h, z) - \int_{\Omega} \varphi(h, z) d\bar{\mu}(z)$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \{(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) : h_1 \in B_H(r) \ h' \in B_{H'}(r) \ h_2 \in \text{supp}(f)\}$. Choose nonnegative functions $\theta' \in C_c^\infty(B_{H'}(r))$, $\theta_1 \in C_c^\infty(B_H(r))$ according to Lemma 3.3. Define a function $\psi \in C^1(H \times G)$ with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\psi(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) = \theta'(h') \theta_1(h_1) f(h_2).$$

Since $\int_{H'} \theta' = \int_H \theta_1 = 1$ and in view of Theorem 8.32 of [Kn02] and formula (3.2)

$$\int_H f(h) \varphi(h, g_t h x) d\nu(h) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \psi(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) \varphi(h_2, g_t h_2 x) d\nu(h_1 h_2) d\mu(h' h_2).$$

Define a function on $\psi_x \in C^1(H \times \Omega)$ by $\psi_x(h, g x) = \psi(h, g)$. The definition makes sense because of the injectivity assumption. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_H f(h) \varphi(h, g_t h x) d\nu(h) - \langle \psi_x, g_{-t} \varphi \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{E}} \psi(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) [\varphi(h_2, g_t h_2 x) - \varphi(h_1 h_2, g_t h' h_2 x)] d\nu(h_1 h_2) d\mu(h' h_2) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{E}} \psi(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) [\varphi(h_2, g_t h_2 x) - \varphi(h_1 h_2, \phi_t(h') g_t h_2 x)] d\nu(h_1 h_2) d\mu(h' h_2) \right| \\ &\ll \|\varphi\|_{Lip} \cdot r \cdot \left| \int_{\mathcal{E}} \psi(h_1 h_2, h' h_2) d\nu(h_1 h_2) d\mu(h' h_2) \right| \\ &\ll \|\varphi\|_{Lip} \cdot r \cdot \|f\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\|\psi_x\|_{Lip} \ll \|\theta'(h') \theta_1(h_1) f(h_2)\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-d^2} \|f\|_{C^1}, \quad \|\psi_x\|_{L^2} \ll r^{-d^2/2} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P} : L^2(H \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ be the orthogonal projection as in Corollary 3.2, so $\mathcal{P}(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(h, z) d\bar{\mu}(z) = 0$ by assumption. Due to (3.3)

$$|\langle \psi_x, g_{-t} \varphi \rangle| \ll r^{-d^2} \|f\|_{C^1} (\|\varphi\|_{Lip} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) e^{-\alpha t} \ll r^{-d^2} \|f\|_{C^1} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} e^{-\alpha t}.$$

The theorem follows immediately. $\square$

The following theorem concerns the equidistribution of F^+ -translations of the Lebesgue measure on $\{(\mathbf{x}, n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) : \mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1}\}$ where $I = (0, 1)$. The result without

the additional variable on I^{d-1} is the classical equidistribution of the closed horosphere. The reason that we also consider a variable on I^{d-1} here is that the matrix $m(\mathbf{x})$, which is related to Frobenius numbers via Theorem 2.6, is defined for $\mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1}$. This will get involved later in Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a constant $\alpha_2 > 0$ (dependent only on the dimension d) such that for any $T \geq 1$ and any $\phi \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Omega)$,*

$$\left| \int_{I^{d-1}} \phi(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Omega} \phi(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \ll \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here $d\mathbf{x}$ is the Lebesgue measure.

Proof. In order to apply Theorem 3.4 and get the error term estimate, we need to approximate both $\chi_{I^{d-1}}$ and ϕ by C^1 functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \Omega$ respectively.

Take a partition $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ of I^{d-1} with the interior of each E_i being an open cube, and choose an $r_0 > 0$ such that for each i the restriction of $\pi_0 : G \rightarrow \Omega$, $\pi_0(g) = g\Gamma$ to $\{gn(\mathbf{x}) : g \in B_G(r_0), \mathbf{x} \in E_i\}$ is injective. There exists a constant $C > 0$ dependent only on the partition, so that for every $0 < r < r_0$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, there exists a function $p_i \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ supported in E_i ,

$$0 \leq p_i \leq \chi_{E_i}, \quad \text{vol}(\{\mathbf{x} \in E_i : p_i(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1\}) \ll Cr, \quad \|p_i\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-1}.$$

There is also a function $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ supported in I^{d-1} with

$$0 \leq p \leq \chi_{I^{d-1}}, \quad \text{vol}(\{\mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1} : p(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1\}) \ll r^{1/2}, \quad \|p\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-1/2}.$$

Let $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, z) = p(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \Omega)$, then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \chi_{E_i}(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_i(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} \right| \ll C \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} r^{1/2},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \Omega} \chi_{E_i}(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}, z) - p_i(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \ll C \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} r^{1/2}.$$

Apply Theorem 3.4 to the functions $p_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, z)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} p_i(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \Omega} p_i(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \\ & \ll \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{Lip} \cdot r + r^{-d^2-1} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_1/(d-1)} \\ & \ll \|\phi\|_{Lip} \cdot r^{1/2} + r^{-d^2-3/2} \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_1/(d-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $r = T^{-\alpha_2}$ for some appropriate $\alpha_2 > 0$ we conclude that

$$\left| \int_{I^{d-1}} \phi(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Omega} \phi(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \ll \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_2}.$$

□

4 Translations of a Farey Sequence and Effective Equidistribution

The Farey fractions on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ are those points whose coordinates are rational numbers. We already know that the expanding horosphere $Y = \{h\Gamma : h \in H\}$ becomes equidistributed under F^+ -translations. What happens to the Farey fractions on Y ? Let K be the subgroup of G defined by

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : A \in G_0, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \right\},$$

which is the semidirect product of G_0 and H^- . Let $\Lambda = \{D(s)k\Gamma : s > 1, k \in K\}$. This is an embedded submanifold of Ω . For any element $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exist unique $s > 1$ and $z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma$ such that $\lambda = D(s)z$. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_i < x_d, 0 < x_d < 1\}$, Marklof in [M10] proved that under F^+ -translation, Farey fractions $\{n(\hat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma : \mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d\}$ on the closed horosphere $\{n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}\}$ becomes equidistributed on Λ . We will prove an effective version of this result for C^1 test functions on Λ in Theorem 4.5. (The C^1 -norm for bounded differentiable functions on Λ can be defined via using a basis of the Lie algebra of $H' = H_0H^-$, in a similar way as what we did for G and Ω .) The following lemma is also hinted in [M10], which describes the behavior of F^+ -translations of Farey fractions.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $T > 1$, the lattice points in $T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the intersection of $\{D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma : \mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1}\}$ with the submanifold Λ . More precisely, (recall that $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (\frac{a_1}{a_d}, \dots, \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_d})^t$)*

$$\left\{ \hat{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d \right\} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1} : D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma \in \Lambda \right\}$$

Proof. (“ $\subseteq$ ”) In view of Theorem 2.6, for every $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$, $D(a_d)n(\hat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma \in K\Gamma$. Therefore $D(T)n(\hat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma \in \Lambda$.

(“ $\supseteq$ ”) For every $\mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1}$ with $D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma \in \Lambda$, there exists $T' > 1$ such that $D(T/T')n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma \in K\Gamma/\Gamma$. For any lattice in $K\Gamma/\Gamma$, the last coordinates of its lattice points form the set $\mathbb{Z}$. This means that $\frac{T}{T'}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, 1)^t \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$. Hence $\mathbf{x} = \widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$. □

Let $\pi_0 : G \longrightarrow \Omega$, $\pi_0(g) = g\Gamma$ be the projection map, and

$$\tilde{\pi}_0 : H \times F^+ \times K\Gamma/\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega, \quad \tilde{\pi}_0(h, D(s), z) = hD(s)z.$$

To study the error term of the equidistribution of the Farey sequence, we need to introduce the following notion.

Definition 4.2. For any subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq K\Gamma/\Gamma$, the *injectivity radius* of $\mathcal{C}$ on the horospherical subgroup H is by definition

$$\text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C}) = \sup\{r \geq 0 : \tilde{\pi}_0 \text{ is injective on } B_H(r) \times F^+ \times \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a compact subset of $K\Gamma/\Gamma$. Then $\text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C}) > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is compact, there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}$ of K such that π_0 maps $\mathcal{K}$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose the entries of elements in $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded by M in absolute value. Let $r < 1/2Md$, it is enough to show that whenever $n(\mathbf{x})D(T)k_1\mathbb{Z}^d = k_2\mathbb{Z}^d$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in B(2r)$, $T \geq 1$, $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, then we have $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, $T = 1$ and $k_1 = k_2$. Indeed, let

$$k_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the last coordinates of the lattice points in $k_2\mathbb{Z}^d$ form the set $\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of the entries in the last row of $n(\mathbf{x})D(T)k_1$ also form the set $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$T^{-1/(d-1)}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{d-1}) + \mathbb{Z}(T^{-1/(d-1)}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} + T) = \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbf{a}_i$'s are the columns of A . By the choice of r we have $|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i| < 1$ and hence $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $T = 1$. By the injectivity of π_0 on $\mathcal{K}$, we get $k_1 = k_2$. □

Remark 4.4. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.3 that for any compact subset $\mathcal{K}' \in K$ with the entries of elements in $\mathcal{K}'$ bounded by N in absolute value, then

$$rad_H(\bigcup\{k\mathcal{C} : k \in \mathcal{K}'\}) \gg rad_H(\mathcal{C})N^{-1}.$$

Let dk be the left Haar measure on K such that $dk = d\mu_0 d\mathbf{b}$, where $d\mathbf{b}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and let $d\bar{k}$ be the induced probability measure on $K\Gamma/\Gamma$. The Lie algebra of G is the direct sum of the Lie algebras of the subgroups H , F and K . According to Siegel's volume formula (cf. [S45] and [M10]) and Theorem 8.32 of [Kn02], for any $f \in L^1(G)$

$$\int_{HFK} f(g) d\mu(g) = \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{H \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times K} f(hD(s)k) d\nu(h) \frac{ds}{s^{d+1}} dk. \quad (4.1)$$

This gives a Borel measure on Λ : $d\lambda = s^{-(d+1)} ds d\bar{k}$.

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a constant $\alpha_3 > 0$ dependent only on the dimension d , so that for every $\varphi \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Lambda)$ with $\{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : (\mathbf{x}, D(s)z) \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is compact subset in $K\Gamma/\Gamma$, and every $T > 1$*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \ll rad_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_3}.$$

Proof. Step (i) Thicken the function φ to $\psi_0 \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Omega)$.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is compact, there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}$ such that π_0 maps $\mathcal{K}$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 4.3 $r_0 = rad_H(\mathcal{C}) > 0$ and the restriction of π_0 to $B_H(r_0)F^+\mathcal{K}$ is injective. For any $r < r_0$ let $\mathcal{E}_r = \{x \in I^{d-1} : \text{dist}(x, \partial I^{d-1}) > r\}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \notin \mathcal{E}_r\} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \#\{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : a_i/a_d \leq r \text{ or } a_i/a_d \geq 1-r\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \#\{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : a_i/T \leq r\} \ll T^d r \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\theta \in C^\infty(B(r))$ according to Lemma 3.3, and define $\psi \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times G)$ supported on $I^{d-1} \times HF^+\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, n(\mathbf{y})D(s)k) = \theta(\mathbf{y})\varphi(\mathbf{x}, D(s)k\Gamma),$$

and $\psi_0 \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Omega)$ by $\psi_0(\mathbf{x}, n(\mathbf{y})\lambda) = \theta(\mathbf{y})\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$. The definition makes sense, and since π_0 is locally isometric, $\|\psi_0\|_{C^1} \ll \|\theta\|_{C^1}\|\varphi\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-d}\|\varphi\|_{C^1}$.

Step (ii) Compare the average of φ over the translated Farey sequences, and the average of ψ_0 over the expanding horospheres.

Put $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \notin I^{d-1}$, and by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \int_{I^{d-1}} \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \int_{B(r/T^{d/(d-1)})} \psi_0(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{y}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{y})\Gamma) d\mathbf{y} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \int_{B(r)} \theta(\mathbf{y}) (\varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} + T^{-d/(d-1)}\mathbf{y}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma)) d\mathbf{y} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \left(\sum_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{E}_r} + \sum_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \notin \mathcal{E}_r} \right) \int_{B(r)} \theta(\mathbf{y}) (\varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}} + T^{-d/(d-1)}\mathbf{y}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma)) d\mathbf{y} \right| \\
&\ll \frac{\#\{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{E}_r\}}{T^d} \|\varphi\|_{Lip} \int_{B(r)} \theta(\mathbf{y}) \|\mathbf{y}\| d\mathbf{y} + \frac{\#\{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d : \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \notin \mathcal{E}_r\}}{T^d} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\ll r(\|\varphi\|_{Lip} + \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}) \ll r\|\varphi\|_{C^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step (iii) Apply the equidistribution result of expanding horospheres.

Theorem 3.5 implies that

$$\left| \int_{I^{d-1}} \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, D(T)n(\mathbf{x})\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Omega} \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) \right| \ll \|\psi_0\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_2} \ll r^{-d} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_2}.$$

By equation (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{I^{d-1} \times \Omega} \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z) d\mathbf{x} d\bar{\mu}(z) &= \int_{I^{d-1} \times HF + \mathcal{K}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, g) d\mathbf{x} d\mu(g) \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times K} \theta(\mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, D(s)k\Gamma) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \frac{ds}{s^{d+1}} dk \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if we let $r = T^{-\alpha_3}$ for $0 < \alpha_3 < \alpha_2/(d+1)$, the above shows that

whenever $T^{\alpha_3} > r_0^{-1}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \ll \|\varphi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_3}.$$

Hence when $1 < T < r_0^{-1/\alpha_3}$, we need a multiple $r_0^{-1} (= \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1})$ to dominate the error terms. $\square$

Recall from Theorem 2.6 that for any primitive lattice point $\mathbf{a} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{>0}^d$, the lattice $L_{\mathbf{a}}$ appearing in (1.5) which produces the Frobenius number $F(\mathbf{a})$, is given by $L_{\mathbf{a}} = m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(a_d)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\mathbb{Z}^d \cap e_d^\perp$. Let $L'_{\mathbf{a}} = m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(a_d)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma \in K\Gamma/\Gamma$. Translating L' by $D(T/a_d)$ for every $T > 1$, we get

$$D(T/a_d)L'_{\mathbf{a}} = m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma.$$

Moreover we have $D(T/a_d)L'_{\mathbf{a}} \in \Lambda$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$. The following theorem shows that under this translation, the set of lattices $\{L'_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d\}$ become equidistributed in Λ .

Theorem 4.6. *There exists a constant $\alpha_4 > 0$ dependent only on the dimension d , so that for every $\varphi \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Lambda)$ with $\{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : (\mathbf{x}, D(s)z) \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is compact subset in $K\Gamma/\Gamma$, and every $T > 1$*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_4}.$$

Remark 4.7. The non-effective result can be derived from Theorem 4.5 via the following simple fact. Suppose $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map, and μ_n, μ are Borel measures on X so that μ_n converge to μ in the weak* topology. Then the push-forward Borel measures (on Y) $\phi^*(\mu_n)$ also converge to $\phi^*(\mu)$. Here the push-forward map is given by $\mathcal{T} : I^{d-1} \times \Lambda \rightarrow I^{d-1} \times \Lambda, \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = (\mathbf{x}, m(\mathbf{x})\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be as in Remark 4.7. However, since $\mathcal{T}$ is not Lipschitz, $\varphi \circ \mathcal{T}$ is not C^1 . We need to approximate $\varphi \circ \mathcal{T}$ by C^1 functions to get the error term estimate. Let $\mathcal{E}_r = \{x \in I^{d-1} : \text{dist}(x, \partial I^{d-1}) > r\}$. Choose a nonnegative function $\theta \in C^1(I^{d-1})$, such that $\chi_{\mathcal{E}_r} \leq \theta \leq \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{r/2}}$ and $\|\theta\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-1}$. Consider the function $\tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \theta(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}, m(\mathbf{x})\lambda)$ as an approximation of $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, m(\mathbf{x})\lambda)$.

Claim 1: $rad_H(\{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : (\mathbf{x}, D(s)z) \in \text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi})\}) \gg rad_H(\mathcal{C})r$.

Proof: Since $\{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : (\mathbf{x}, D(s)z) \in \text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi})\} \subseteq \{m(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{r/2}\}$, it is enough to show that

$$rad_H(\{m(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{r/2}\}) \gg rad_H(\mathcal{C})r.$$

Indeed, the entries of each $m(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ ($\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{r/2}$) are bounded by $2/r$ in absolute value, and the claim follows from Remark 4.4. $\square$

Claim 2: There exists a constant $n > 0$ dependent only on the dimension d , such that $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{C^1} \ll r^{-n}\|\theta\|_{C^1}\|\varphi\|_{C^1}$.

Proof: For any X in the Lie algebra of $H' = H_0H^-$, we denote by $\partial_\lambda X$ the tangent vector induced by X at $\lambda \in \Lambda$. That is,

$$\partial_\lambda X(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\exp(tX)\lambda) - f(\lambda)}{t}, \quad f \in C^\infty(\Lambda).$$

It should not cause any confusion if we use $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ as a tangent vector at each $\mathbf{x} \in I^{d-1}$. Consider the differential $d\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ at $(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_{r/2} \times \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{(d-1)x_i} \partial_{m(\mathbf{x})\lambda} E_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ d\mathcal{T}(\partial_\lambda X) &= \partial_{m(\mathbf{x})\lambda} \text{Ad}(m(\mathbf{x}))(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_i = \text{diag}(-1, \dots, d-2, \dots, -1, 0)$ with $d-2$ in the $(i, i)^{th}$ entry. Hence the norm of $d\mathcal{T}$ satisfies $\|d\mathcal{T}\| \ll r^{-n}$ for some $n = n(d)$. $\square$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \tilde{\varphi}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| + \\ & \quad \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in I^{d-1} \setminus \mathcal{E}_r} (\varphi(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, m(\mathbf{a})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \tilde{\varphi}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma)) \right| + \\ & \quad \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Note that the Haar measure $d\bar{k}$ on $K\Gamma/\Gamma$ is left invariant, so

$$\int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda = \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \theta(\mathbf{x}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda.$$

Apply Theorem 4.5 to the function $\tilde{\varphi}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} r^{-1} \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_3} + r \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + r \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} r^{-n-2} \|\varphi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_3} + r \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof by setting $r = T^{-\alpha_4}$ for suitable $\alpha_4 > 0$. □

Let us define

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \{(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y^{-1})z) : (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}_0, z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma\}.$$

The map which sends $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ to $I^{d-1} \times \Lambda$ via $(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}, D(y)^{-1}z)$ is injective, with the image being an open subset. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ inherits a Riemannian structure from $I^{d-1} \times \Lambda$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_0$ also inherits a metric from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$, since for every $z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma$, the map $I \rightarrow \Lambda$ via $y \rightarrow D(y)^{-1}z$, gives us the same pull back metric on I . Under this coordinate, we also put a Borel measure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \chi_{\mathcal{D}_0}(\mathbf{x}, y) d\mathbf{x} dy d\bar{k}(z).$$

Corollary 4.8. *For every $\psi \in C^1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$ with $\{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : (\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) \in \text{supp}(\psi)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is a compact subset in $K\Gamma/\Gamma$, and every $T > 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \right| \\ & \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} \|\psi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_4}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where α_4 is as in Theorem 4.6.

Proof. This push-forward the equidistribution result in Theorem 4.6 to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ via the map $\mathcal{Q} : I^{d-1} \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$, (Remark 4.7)

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}, D(y)^{-1}z) = (y\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z), \quad (\mathbf{x}, y) \in I^d, z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma.$$

Define a function $\tilde{\psi}$ on $I^{d-1} \times \Lambda$ by $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \circ \mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is Lipschitz, we have that $\tilde{\psi} \in C^1(I^{d-1} \times \Lambda)$ and $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{C^1} \ll \|\psi\|_{C^1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda &= \int_{I^{d-1} \times I \times K\Gamma/\Gamma} \psi(y\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) y^{d-1} d\mathbf{x} dy d\bar{k}(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.6:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \tilde{\psi}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{I^{d-1} \times \Lambda} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \right| \\ &\ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} \|\psi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_4}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

□

Remark 4.9. Formula (4.3) tracks the primitive lattice points in the equidistribution result, which enables us to derive (1.6). For any $\phi \in C(\Omega_0)$, define a function ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ by

$$\phi_0(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) = \phi(z \cap e_d^\perp) \quad (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}_0, \quad z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma.$$

It is clear that $\phi_0 \in C(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_{\mathbf{a}}) - \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\bar{\mu}_0 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \chi_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}\right) \phi_0\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\Gamma\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \chi_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}, y) \phi_0 d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \right| \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ has boundary of Lebesgue measure zero, we can apply the weak* convergence argument of Corollary 4.8 to complete the proof.

Remark 4.9 suggests that to get the error term estimate of (4.5), we have to deal with the error term in the equidistribution result (4.3) for any test function which is the product of an indicator function and a C^1 function. Technically we need to endow some condition on the boundary of the sets.

Definition 4.10. Let X be a metric space (d) with a positive Borel measure μ . We say that a bounded open set E is “ C -good” with respect to μ if for each $0 < r < 1$,

$$\mu(\{x \in X : d(x, \partial E) < r\}) < Cr.$$

Any bounded open subset with piecewise smooth boundary in a Riemannian manifold is “good” with respect to the measure induced by the volume form. The following lemma shows how to get effective equidistribution results for the indicator functions of “good” subsets, when we have error term estimates for C^1 test functions. The idea has been used in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\{\mu_T : T \geq 1\}$ and μ be positive Borel measures on a Riemannian manifold X . Suppose there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$, so that for any non-negative function $f \in C^1(X)$ and $T \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left| \int_X f d\mu_T - \int_X f d\mu \right| < \|f\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha}. \quad (4.6)$$

Then there exists a constant $0 < \beta < \alpha$, such that for any open subset $E \subseteq X$ which is C -good with respect to μ , and for any $T \geq 1$,

$$\left| \mu_T(E) - \mu(E) \right| < (C + 1)T^{-\beta}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. For any “ C -good” set E and $0 < r < 1$, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in C^1(X)$, such that $0 \leq f_1 \leq \chi_E \leq f_2$, $\|f_i - \chi_E\|_{L^1(\mu)} < Cr$, and $\|f_i\|_{C^1} < r^{-1}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Now we have

$$\int_X f_2 d\mu_T \geq \mu_T(E) \geq \int_X f_1 d\mu_T,$$

and by (4.6)

$$\left| \int_X f_i d\mu_T - \int_X f_i d\mu \right| < r^{-1} T^{-\alpha}.$$

Now pick $r = T^{-\beta}$ for some suitable $0 < \beta < \alpha$, we obtain that

$$(C + 1)T^{-\beta} > \mu_T(E) - \mu(E) > -(C + 1)T^{-\beta}.$$

□

Theorem 4.12. *For any $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_0$ with piecewise smooth boundary, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$, so that for every non-negative function $\phi \in C^1(\Omega_0)$ with $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is a compact subset in Ω_0 , and every $T > 1$*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_{\mathbf{a}}) - \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{D})}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\bar{\mu}_0 \right| \ll C_{\mathcal{D}} \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_5}. \quad (4.8)$$

The exponent $\alpha_5 > 0$ is dependent only on the dimension d

Proof. We will follow the notation in Remark 4.9.

Step (i) Show that $\phi_0 \in C^1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$.

Since ϕ is now differentiable, so is ϕ_0 . Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} D(s) = D(s) \begin{pmatrix} A & s^{d/d-1} \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence at each point $(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$, $\partial X(\phi_0)(\mathbf{x}, y, D(y)^{-1}z) = \partial X(\phi)(z)$ for $X \in \text{Lie}(H_0)$, and $\partial Y(\phi_0) \equiv 0$ for $Y \in \text{Lie}(H^-)$. (∂X and ∂Y are defined in (3.4)) We conclude that $\|\phi_0\|_{C^1} = \|\phi\|_{C^1}$. Let $\mathcal{C}' = \{z \in K\Gamma/\Gamma : z \cap e_d^\perp \in \mathcal{C}\}$. By Mahler's criterion $\mathcal{C}'$ is compact. Moreover we have $\text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C}) \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C}')$.

Step (ii) Apply Lemma 4.11 to $\chi_{\mathcal{D}}$.

By Corollary 4.8, for any $f \in C^1(\mathcal{D}_0)$ (the metric on $\mathcal{D}_0$ comes from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} f\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}\right) \phi_0\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{T}, m(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})D(T)n(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} f(\mathbf{x}, y) \phi_0 d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \right| \\ & \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C}')^{-1} \|f\|_{C^1} \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_4} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Observe that any $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ with piecewise smooth boundary is “good” with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Since ϕ is non-negative, by Lemma 4.11 there exists a constant $0 < \alpha_5 < \alpha_4$ (dependent only on the dimension d) and a constant $C_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$, so that

$$(4.5) \ll \text{rad}_H(\mathcal{C})^{-1} C_{\mathcal{D}} \|\phi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha_5}.$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

To establish our main results, we need to introduce the Siegel Integral Formula.

Theorem 5.1. (Siegel) For any measurable, real valued, bounded, compactly supported function f on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, we define a function $\hat{f}$ on Ω_0 by $\hat{f}(L) = \sum_{0 \neq v \in L} f(v)$ for $L \in \Omega_0$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \hat{f} d\bar{\mu}_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

We now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. Let $\Delta^+(\delta)$, $\Delta^-(\delta)$ denote the two simplices (whenever they exist) in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, which are both similar to Δ_{d-1} , $\Delta^-(\delta) \subset \Delta_{d-1} \subset \Delta^+(\delta)$, and the Euclidean distance between each pair of the corresponding facets in $\Delta^+(\delta)$ ($\Delta^-(\delta)$) and Δ_{d-1} is δ .

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

To get the error term estimate of (4.8) for the test function χ_{E_R} , in view of Lemma 4.11, we only need to show that E_R is “good”. It is enough to show there exist constants $C, r_0 > 0$ dependent only on R , such that whenever $0 < r < r_0$

$$\bar{\mu}_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : \bar{d}_0(L, \partial E_R) < r\}) \ll Cr. \quad (5.1)$$

For any $L \in \partial E_R$, i.e., $Q_0(L) = R$, there exists an $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with

$$(L \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \cap (\mathbf{x} + R\partial\Delta_{d-1}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore there exist $c > 0$ and a neighborhood V of L in Ω_0 , such that whenever $L' \in V$, we have

$$(L' \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \cap \left(\mathbf{x} + \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \mathbf{y} \in R\Delta^+(cd(L, L')) \setminus R\Delta^-(cd(L, L'))\} \right) \neq \emptyset. \quad (5.2)$$

Since ∂E_R is compact (Theorem 2.3), it can be covered by finitely many V_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq N$) chosen above, and there exists $r_0 > 0$ so that $\{L' \in \Omega_0 : d(L', \partial E_R) < r_0\} \subseteq \cup_i V_i$. This means that for any $0 < r < r_0$ and $L' \in \Omega_0$ with $d(L', \partial E_R) < r$, we have $L' \in V_i$ for some i and hence

$$(L' \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \cap \left(\mathbf{x}_i + \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \mathbf{y} \in R\Delta^+(c_0 r) \setminus R\Delta^-(c_0 r)\} \right) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i$ is associated to V_i as (5.2), and $c_0 = \max\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. Applying Siegel integral formula (Theorem 5.1) to the indicator functions of the sets $\mathbf{x}_i + \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \mathbf{y} \in R\Delta^+(c_0 r) \setminus R\Delta^-(c_0 r)\}$,

$$\bar{\mu}_0(\{L' \in \Omega_0 : d(L', \partial E_R) < r\}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\mu}_0(V_i) \ll N c_0 R r. \quad \square$$

Remark 5.2. In Theorem 1.3, besides a constant dependent only on d , the constant C_R comes from $\text{rad}_H E_R$, as well as c_0 and N in the proof of the theorem. As was shown in the proof of Theorem 4.12, $C_{\mathcal{D}}$ is related to the “goodness” of $\mathcal{D}$ in the sense of Definition 4.8.

References

- [AG07] I.M. Aliev and P.M. Gruber, An optimal lower bound for the Frobenius problem. J. Number Theory 123 (2007) 71-79.
- [AHH09] I. M. Aliev, M. Henk and A. Hinrichs, Expected Frobenius numbers. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.2620>
- [AM09] J.S. Athreya and G.A. Margulis, Logarithm laws for unipotent flows, I. J. Modern Dynamics, Volume 3, No. 3, (2009), 359-378.
- [BS07] J. Bourgain and Ya.G. Sinai, Limit behavior of large Frobenius numbers, Russian Math. Surveys 62 (2007), 713-725.
- [EG72] P. Erdős, R. Graham, On a linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, Acta Arith. 21 (1972) 399-408.
- [K92] R. Kannan, Lattice translates of a polytope and the Frobenius problem. Combinatorica 12 (1992) 161-177.
- [KL88] R. Kannan, L. Lovász, Covering minima and lattice-point-free convex bodies, Ann. of Math. (2) 128 (3) (1988) 577-602.
- [KM96] D. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, Bounded orbits of nonquasiunipotent flows on homogeneous spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 171 (1996), 141-172.
- [KM07] D. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, On effective equidistribution of expanding translates of certain orbits in the space of lattices, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0702433>
- [Kn02] A. Knapp. Lie groups beyond an introduction. Birkhauser, 2002.
- [LM] Private correspondence with Jens Marklof
- [M10] J. Marklof, The asymptotic distribution of Frobenius numbers. Invent Math 181 (2010), 179-207.

- [M10-2] J. Marklof, Tail estimation for the limit of Frobenius numbers. (unpublished note)
- [S45] C.L. Siegel, A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers. *Ann. of Math.* Vol. 46, No. 2 (1945), 340-347.
- [SE77] E. Selmer, On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 293/294 (1977) 117.
- [U10] A. Ustinov, On the distribution of Frobenius numbers with three arguments, *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.*, 2010, Volume 74, Issue 5, Pages 145-170
- [V75] Y. Vitek, Bounds for a linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 10 (1975) 7985.

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.
 li.han@yale.edu